

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/662,300		09/16/2003	Takahiko Endo	392.1819	1767	
21171	759	00 03/17/2005		EXAMINER		
STAAS & SUITE 70		LSEY LLP		GANDHI, JAYPRAKASH N		
	-	RK AVENUE, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHING	GTON	I, DC 20005	•	2125		
				DATE MAILED: 03/17/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	tion No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary			300	ENDO, TAKAHIKO					
				Art Unit					
	,	Examine							
	The MAILING DATE of this communication		ash N Gandhi ne cover sheet with the c	2125 correspondence address -					
Period fo		anon appoure on a							
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC, usions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statulare to reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e ication. days, a reply within the st tory period will apply and I, by statute, cause the ap	event, however, may a reply be tile atutory minimum of thirty (30) day will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from oplication to become ABANDONE	mely filed /s will be considered timely. h the mailing date of this communica ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.				
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 16 September	2003.						
·	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.								
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicat	ion Papers								
9)	The specification is objected to by the 8	Examiner.							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a	a) accepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
Attachmen			0 □ 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	(DTO 442)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC)-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate					
3) 🛛 Infori	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT r No(s)/Mail Date <u>02/16/05</u> .		5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The description of figure 1, the simplified block diagram, uses general terms and common sense suggestions, but does not clearly describe an operation of a numerical controller (designating means?).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-10 as best understood are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kawamura et al. (U. S. Patent 4,970,449).

Art Unit: 2125

As to claims 1 and 6, Kawamura discloses a numerical controller for controlling a machining operation on a workpiece by a tool, including a designating means and computing means (ABSTRACT).

A <u>numerical</u> control apparatus according to the invention has a mode designating interface for deciding a control mode and an interface which receives **position and velocity** commands, these interfaces being interposed between the apparatus and a servo-processor. A mode for controlling the servomotor is automatically decided internally of the <u>numerical</u> control apparatus. Changeover is possible in which the servomotor is used as a motor for positional control or in order to control <u>rotational</u> velocity in the manner of a spindle motor to achieve continuous <u>rotation</u>.

As to claims 2-5 and 7-10, Kawamura clearly discloses a numerical controller, which can execute the claimed limitations.

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Nigazawa et al., Sugiyama et al., Tsutsui, Hart et al., Kita et al., Migda, Waelti, Shibata, and lida et al., disclose related art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jayprakash N Gandhi whose telephone number is 571-272-3740. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-5:00 (Mon. - Thu.).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leo P Picard can be reached on 571-272-3749. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/662,300 Page 4

Art Unit: 2125

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jayprakash N Gandhi Primary Examiner Art Unit 2125

jng